|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Applicant Name**: | | |
| **TPID** (Número de Catástro)**:** | | |
| **Type of Undertaking**:  New Construction  Substantial Repair | **Participating PRHFA Program:**  CDBG-DR  HOME  HTF  Other: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | |
| **Construction Date** (Tax Card): | | **Construction Date** (AH est.): |
| **Vacant Lot**: NO/YES | | **Property Size** (acres)**:** |
| **FEMA / Local Funded Demolition Removal**:NO/YES | | |

|  |
| --- |
| **SOI-Qualified Architectural Historian**: |
| **Date Reviewed**: |

***PLEASE USE NATIONAL REGISTER TERMINOLOGY TO ENSURE CONSISTENCY WITH PUERTO RICO SHPO DATABASE***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Classification**: DOMESTIC / | | | | | | | |
| **Architectural Category and Subcategory**: | | | | | | | |
| **Number of Stories**: | | | | | | | |
| **Materials** (Describe below)**:** | | | | | | | |
| **Foundation:** | | | | | | | |
| **Walls:** | | | | | | | |
| **Windows:** | | | | | | | |
| **Doors:** | | | | | | | |
| **Roof:** | | | | | | | |
| **Other:** | | | | | | | |
| **Building Description**: | | | | | | | |
| **Overall Exterior Condition:** | | | | | | | |
|  | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SCOPE OF WORK** | | |
| The applicant is seeking funds financed by the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development. It is a Section 106 (54 U.S.C. 306108) undertaking due to the use of federal dollars. Activities related to this project will be done in a manner that does not meet Stipulations outlined in the Programmatic Agreement.  *Detailed description scope of work for repair undertakings (Include official scope as supporting materials attached to this document)*.  **DEFINE THE AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS** *(Describe the project location and visual APE)***:** | | |
| **Presence of Historic Properties:** | **YES** | **NO** |
| Building is individually eligible for listing or is listed in the NRHP. |  |  |
| Building is within the boundaries of an eligible or listed NRHP historic district. |  |  |
| Building is within the boundaries of a National Historic Landmark district. |  |  |
| Building is within the boundaries of a designated Historic Zone or city plaza. |  |  |
| **District Name / Historic Zone**: | Contributes to  district: | Not contributing to district: |

|  |
| --- |
| PROXIMITY TO ELIGIBLE OR LISTED NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACESPROPERTIES / DISTRICTS |
|  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PROJECT LOCATION BUILDING INTEGRITY ASSESSMENT** | **YES** | **NO** |
| **LOCATION**   * Is the building in its original location? |  |  |
| **DESIGN**   * Does the property retain its original form, massing, and scale? |  |  |
| * Has the original pattern of fenestration been retained? |  |  |
| * Have the character-defining features of the architectural style been preserved? |  |  |
| **SETTING**   * Has the setting of the historic property been irrevocably compromised as a result of damage, neglect, or renovation? |  |  |
| **MATERIALS**   * Have the key exterior materials of the building been lost due to modern renovations? (i.e. vinyl siding, roof replacement, window replacement, etc.) |  |  |
| **WORKMANSHIP**   * Has the workmanship (i.e. carving, painting, graining, and joinery, etc.), been retained? |  |  |
| **FEELING**   * Do the physical characteristics of the historic property convey a sense of feeling? |  |  |
| **ASSOCIATION**   * Do the existing physical features of the historic property convey a sense of association to this particular neighborhood? |  |  |
| **OTHER**   * Has inherent material damage, such as environmental or moisture problems, neglect, or improper maintenance compromised the integrity of the property? |  |  |
| * Has building renovations, removal of architectural features or ornamentation, improper re-pointing or cleaning, or insensitive additions compromised the integrity of the property? |  |  |
| **OVERALL ASSESSMENT**   * Taking into consideration the above questions, does this property possess several or most of the aspects of integrity sufficient to convey its historic significance? |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **DETERMINATION OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS** |
| **Statement of Significance (Please address the NRHP Criteria and Criteria Considerations):** |
| **RECOMMENDATION:** The Puerto Rico Department of Housing or Puerto Rico Housing Finance Authority requests that the Puerto Rico SHPO concur that the following determination is appropriate for the undertaking (Choose One):  No Historic Properties Affected  No Adverse Effect  Adverse Effect  (**No Adverse Effect Conditions or Proposed Adverse Effect Resolution here, if needed**) |

**This Section is to be Completed by SHPO Staff Only**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| The Puerto Rico State Historic Preservation Office has reviewed the above information and:  **Concurs** with the information provided.  **Does not concur** with the information provided. | |
| Comments: | |
| Carlos Rubio-Cancela  State Historic Preservation Officer | Date: |

|  |
| --- |
| **Project (Parcel) Location - USGS Topographic Map** |
|  |
| **Project (Parcel) Location - Aerial Map** |
|  |
| **Project (Parcel) Location with Previous Investigations - Aerial Map** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Project (Parcel) Location with Previously Recorded Cultural Resources**  **USGS Topographic Map** |
|  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| **Photo #:** | **Description (include direction):** |
| **Date:** |
|  | |
| **Photo #:** | **Description (include direction):** |
| **Date:** |

Add additional photo pages as needed.